ACC i2 Highlights @ TCTAP 2013: LAA Closure and TAVR for Extreme and High Risk Patients

Matthew J. Price MD Scripps Clinic, La Jolla, CA



The Bad Actor in Atrial Fibrillation: The Left Atrial Appendage (LAA)



- 91% of thrombus originates in the LAA
- Thromboembolic stroke from AF more debilitating due to size of clots

Blackshear J.L. Odell J.A., Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 1996;61:755-759

■ SCRIPPS CLINIC

WATCHMAN Left Atrial Occluder Device

- Nitinol Frame
- PET Fabric Cap
- Barbs
- Threaded Insert
- Various Sizes (21, 24,27,30,33mm)
- Length = width of device



STRUCTURAL HEART 2013



Stroke, SE, CV or unexplained death

PROTECT AF Primary Efficacy Results

	Device Control			Posterior Probabilities		
	Observed rate (events per 100 pt-yrs) (95% Crl)	Observed rate (events per 100 pt-yrs) (95% Crl)	Rate Ratio Intervention/Control (95% Crl)	Non-inferiority	Superiority	
Primary Efficacy	3.0 (2.1, 4.3)	4.3 (2.6, 5.9)	0.71 (0.44, 1.30)	>0.99	0.88	



Time (Days)



Caution: In the United States, WATCHMAN is an investigational device limited by Federal law and investigational use only. Not for sale in the US. Prior to use please review device indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions, adverse events, and operational instructions. Only available according to applicable local law.

Reddy, VY et al. Circulation. 2013;127:720-729

PROTECT AF Primary Safety Results





Performance Metrics – Learning Curve Effect, PROTECT- AF vs. CAP



Reddy VY et al, Circulation. 2011;123:417-424

PREVAIL Top 10 Participating Centers

Investigational Center	Location	Principal Investigator	Total Enrollment
Pacific Heart / St. Johns	Santa Monica, CA	Shephal Doshi, MD	45
Cedars-Sinai Medical Center	Los Angeles, CA	Saibal Kar, MD	32
Mercy Heart and Vascular	St. Louis, MO	J. Mauricio Sanchez, MD	32
Arizona Heart Rhythm Research Center	Phoenix, AZ	Vijay Swarup, MD	30
Intermountain Medical Center	Murray, UT	Brian Whisenant, MD	24
Methodist Hospital	Houston, TX	Miguel Valderrabano, MD	22
Scripps Green	La Jolla, CA	Matthew Price, MD	22
Central Baptist Hospital, Kentucky	Lexington, KY	Gery Tomassoni, MD	17
Fletcher Allen	Burlington, VT	Daniel Lustgarten, MD	17
St. Lukes Hospital, Kansas	Kansas City, MO	Kenneth Huber, MD	17



Study Goals and Design

- Similar design to PROTECT AF: prospective randomized 2:1 (device: control) trial
- 407 randomized patients from 41 US centers
- Confirm the results of PROTECT AF and demonstrate improved safety profile
- Inclusion of new centers and new operators to document that enhancements to the training program are effective
- Roll-in phase allowed new centers to implant 2 patients prior to randomization phase



Procedure Implant Success

PREVAIL **Implant success** CAP Implant success 95.1% **PROTECT AF** 94.3% Implant success 90.9% p = 0.04

Implant success defined as deployment and release of the device into the left atrial appendage



PROTECT AF and CAP data from Reddy, VY et al. *Circulation*. 2011;123:417-424.

First Primary Endpoint Acute (7-day) Procedural Safety



- 6 events in device group = 2.2% (6/269)
- Pre-specified criterion met for first primary endpoint (95% Upper confidence bound < 2.67%)
 - 95% CI = 2.618%

Results are preliminary; final validation not yet complete

Vascular Complications

 Composite of vascular complications includes cardiac perforation, pericardial effusion with tamponade, ischemic stroke, device embolization, and other vascular complications¹



7 Day Serious Procedure/Device Related

No procedure-related deaths reported in any of the trials



PROTECT-AF and CAP data from Reddy, VY et al. *Circulation*. 2011:123:417-424.

¹¹Includes observed PE not necessitating intervention, AV fistula, major bleeding requiring transfusion, pseudoaneurysm, hematoma and groin bleeding

Pericardial Effusions Requiring Intervention





PROTECT AF and CAP data from Reddy, VY et al. *Circulation*, 2011;123;417-424

PREVAIL Complications New vs Experienced Operator



Second Primary Endpoint Composite 18-month Efficacy



- Similar 18-month event rates in both control and device groups = 0.064
- Upper 95% CI bound slightly higher than allowed to meet success criterion (<1.75)
 - Limited number of patients with follow-up through 18 months thus far (Control = 30 pts, Device = 58 pts)



Results are preliminary; final validation not yet complete

PREVAIL Control (Warfarin) Group Performance

- In spite of the high average CHADS₂ score of 2.6 in the control group, the observed rate of stroke in the PREVAIL Control group was lower than in other published warfarin studies
- PREVAIL control group rate = 0.7 (95% CI 0.1, 5.1)
 - Wide confidence bounds due to small number of patients with 18-months of follow-up

Trial	Control (Warfarin) Group Stroke, Systemic Embolism Rate (Per 100 PY)
PROTECT AF ¹	1.6
RE-LY (Dabigatran) ²	1.7
ARISTOTLE (Apixaban) ³	1.6
ROCKET AF (Rivaroxaban) ⁴	2.2
PREVAIL	0.7

Results are preliminary; final validation not yet complete



¹Ischemic stroke rate from Holmes et al. *Lancet* 2009; 374:534-42 ²Connolly et al. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361:1139-51 ³Granger et al. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365:981-92 ⁴Patel et al. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365:883-91

Third Primary Endpoint 18-month Thrombolic Events



 Endpoint success in the presence of an over performing control group

Device 18-Month Rate Control 18-Month Rate

0.0253

0.0201

 Pre-specified non-inferiority criterion met for third primary endpoint (95% CI Upper Bound < 0.0275%)

Results are preliminary; final validation not yet complete

MAYO CLINIC

Ischemic stroke or SE> 7 days post procedure

Conclusions

- Improved procedural success and less complications than PROTECT-AF, despite 40% of cases performed by new operators
- Non-inferior to warfarin with regard to postprocedure stroke and systemic embolism (despite overperforming control group)

 Non-inferiority not met for 18-month efficacy (CV death, any stroke, SE) – observed rates identical in both arms and few patients actually reached end of FU, resulting in wide confidence bounds

I SCRIPPS CLINIC

Edwards SAPIEN vs SAPIEN XT Transcatheter Heart Valves



NEW FRAME GEOMETRY

- Less metal content
- Lower crimp profile

NEW FRAME MATERIAL

- Cobalt-chromium
- Greater tensile and yield
 strength

NEW LEAFLET GEOMETRY

• Partially closed

SAPIEN THV

Stainless Steel



SAPIEN XT THV

Cobalt-chromium





RetroFlex 3

NovaFlex

Sheath Size Comparison





Purpose of PARTNER II Inoperable Cohort



- To compare the safety and effectiveness of the new SAPIEN XT versus the FDA-approved SAPIEN in a randomized controlled trial for patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis who cannot have surgery ("inoperable").
- To apply rigorous clinical trial methodologies including systematic serial neurologic assessments and VARC 2 definitions* for clinical outcomes.

* Kappetein AP, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:1438-54

The PARTNER II Inoperable Cohort Study Design





(Non-inferiority)

Procedural Factors (AT)



Events	SAPIEN (n=271)		SAPIEN XT (n=282)		
	n		n		p-value
Procedure time (mins)	271	109.6 ± 57.2	282	101.0 ± 43.2	0.18
Anesthesia time (mins)	266	212.0 ± 75.7	277	197.6 ± 60.8	0.02
≥ 2 valves implanted	10	3.7	3	1.1	0.05
Valve embolization	0	0	0	0	NA
Aborted procedure	8	3.0	2	0.7	0.06
Aortic rupture	2	0.7	1	0.4	0.62
Aortic dissection	1	0.4	1	0.4	0.99
IABP during procedure	6	2.2	1	0.4	0.06
Cardiopulmonary Bypass	5	1.8	5	1.8	0.99

Vascular and Bleeding Events: At 30 Days (AT)



	SAPIEN (n=271)		SAPIEN XT (n=282)		
Events	n	%	n	%	p-value
Vascular:					
Major	42	15.5	27	9.6	0.04
Minor	20	7.4	14	5.0	0.23
Bleeding:					
Disabling	34	12.6	22	7.8	0.06
Major	44	16.4	44	15.7	0.84
Patients with transfusions	80	29.5	73	25.9	0.40

Vascular Complication Categories: At 30 Days (AT)



	SAPIEN (n=271)		SAP (n=	IEN XT =282)	
Events	n	%	n	%	p-value
Perforation	13	4.8	2	0.4	0.003
Dissection	25	9.2	12	4.3	0.03
Hematoma	16	5.9	10	3.6	0.23

All-Cause Mortality, Disabling Stroke, and Re-hospitalization (ITT)



тне

PARTN

*Preliminary based upon 100% CEC adjudication at 30 days and 89% CEC adjudication at 1 year.

All-Cause Mortality (ITT)





Disabling Stroke (ITT)





Paravalvular Aortic Regurgitation (Valve Implant)





Paravalvular Aortic Regurgitation (Valve Implant)





Implications



In the inoperable cohort of The PARTNER II Trial, the new lower profile SAPIEN XT THV system was associated with...

- Improved procedural outcomes
- Similar low 30-day mortality and strokes
- Reduced vascular complications
- Similar 1-year major clinical events and valve performance

Therefore, SAPIEN XT represents a worthwhile advance with incremental clinical value and is the preferred balloon-expandable THV system.